

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,790 | 01/27/2004 | Scott Malat | 14846-38 | 3985 |
| | 7590 08/17/200 KET ADMINISTRAT | EXAMINER | | |
| LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE | | | TAYLOR, BARRY W | |
| ROSELAND, N | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2617 | |
| | | | | |
| / | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/17/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/766,790 | MALAT ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Barry W. Taylor | 2617 | |

| | Barry VV. Taylor | 2017 | Ì |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with | he correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendmen stice of Appeal (with appeal fee ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the n | nailing date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailir | ount of the fee. The appropr | iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e |)), to avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| | hut muine to the state of Cline - | المالية المساورة المس | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see | oner, will <u>not</u> be entered b NOTE below: | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | im): | THOTE DEIOW), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | ** | ly reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finall | y rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | n-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | |] will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under a | ppeal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | - | | - |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the applicat | on in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | |
| 13. Other: | | BARRY TAYLO | 8/10/07 |
| | | BARRY TAYLO |)B |
| | | PRIMARY EXAM | INER |

Application/Control Number: 10/766,790

Art Unit: 2617

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

The Examiner reviewed Applicant's remarks and amendments to claims, which changes the scope of the claims requiring further search and/or consideration.

Applicants newly recited claim limitations: "wherein the business rules include restrictions based upon the position of a viewing employee within a company, the position within the company being based on which matters the employee has worked on as well as the matters that are within the employee's current responsibility" and addition of new claims 24 and 35 require further search and consideration.

BARRY TAYLOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER